

## **On the Trace Map for Products of Matrices Associated with Substitutive Sequences**

**Jacques Peyrière<sup>1</sup>**

*Received March 22, 1990; final July 30, 1990*

---

In a recent article, M. Kolář and M. K. Ali study the polynomial trace map for products of matrices associated with substitutive sequences on a two-letter alphabet, the existence of which has been proved by J.-P. Allouche and J. Peyrière. Computer calculations led them to conjecture some divisibility properties of the involved polynomials. The present work explains mathematically why it is so.

---

**KEY WORDS:** Trace map; substitutive sequences; automatic sequences; free groups.

The discovery of quasicrystals<sup>(1)</sup> gave rise to many theoretical studies of ordered, but noncrystallographic, systems of atoms (see, for example, refs. 2 and 3). One way of generating such one-dimensional systems is to use substitutions operating on a finite alphabet. Among these substitutions, those which act upon a two-letter alphabet are particularly important and convenient, due to the existence of a general theorem yielding a recursion formula for the traces of certain products of transfer matrices.<sup>(4)</sup> In a recent article, Kolář and Ali<sup>(5)</sup> were led by symbolic calculations on the computer to conjecture a certain divisibility property of the polynomials which govern such a recursion. In the present work, this conjecture is proved to hold. In addition, the use of the proper mathematical tools simplifies and considerably shortens certain results of ref. 5 while generalizing them to a larger framework. Indeed, a substitution on a two-letter alphabet  $(a, b)$ , viewed as a homomorphism of the monoid of words over this alphabet into itself, is a particular endomorphism of the free group  $F$  generated by  $a$  and

---

<sup>1</sup> CNRS URA D0757, Université de Paris-Sud, Mathématiques Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

b. Besides, we think that the use of endomorphisms of free groups instead of mere substitutions could lead to new useful models.

Let us introduce some notations.

1. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two groups,  $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$  denotes the set of homomorphisms from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ .

2. If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are elements of  $\text{Hom}(F, F)$ , we set  $\sigma\tau = \tau \circ \sigma$  (where  $\circ$  denotes the composition of functions).

3. If  $K$  is a commutative field,  $SL_2(K)$  denotes the set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with determinant 1 and the entries of which are in  $K$ .

4. An element  $\varphi$  of  $\text{Hom}(F, SL_2(K))$  is uniquely determined by the couple  $(\varphi(a), \varphi(b))$  of elements of  $SL_2(K)$ .

5. Let us denote by  $T$  the following map from  $\text{Hom}(F, SL_2(K))$  to  $K^3$ :  $T(\varphi) = (\text{tr } \varphi(a), \text{tr } \varphi(b), \text{tr } \varphi(ab))$ , where  $\text{tr}$  stands for the trace.

6.  $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$  denotes the set of polynomials in the variables  $x, y$ , and  $z$ , the coefficients of which are integers.

In these conditions we have the following results.

**Theorem 1.** For any  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(F, F)$ , there exists a unique  $\Phi_\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z])^3$  such that, for any  $K$ , and for any  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F, SL_2(K))$ , we have

$$T(\varphi \circ \sigma) = \Phi_\sigma(T(\varphi))$$

*Proof.* The existence of  $\Phi_\sigma$  is a mere reformulation of the theorem in ref. 4, which results from repetitive applications of the Cayley–Hamilton theorem. The uniqueness results from the fact that the triple  $(\text{tr } A, \text{tr } B, \text{tr } AB)$  can assume any value in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  for suitable  $A$  and  $B$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Corollary 1.** For  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  in  $\text{Hom}(F, F)$ , we have  $\Phi_{\sigma\tau} = \Phi_\sigma \circ \Phi_\tau$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F, SL_2(K))$ , we have

$$T(\varphi \circ (\sigma\tau)) = T((\varphi \circ \tau) \circ \sigma) = \Phi_\sigma(T(\varphi \circ \tau)) = \Phi_\sigma \circ \Phi_\tau(T(\varphi))$$

and the corollary results from the uniqueness of  $\Phi_{\sigma\tau}$ .

**Corollary 2.** If  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $F$ , then the jacobian of  $\Phi_\sigma$  is either 1 or  $-1$ .

*Proof.* This results from the chain rule and from the fact that  $\det \Phi'_\sigma$  is a polynomial with integral coefficients.

In particular, this corollary explains why the maps associated with all substitutions (14) in ref. 5 are volume preserving.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 4$ . Then, for any  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(F, F)$ , there exists a polynomial  $Q_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$  such that  $\lambda \circ \Phi_\sigma = \lambda \cdot Q_\sigma$ .

*Proof.* For  $A$  and  $B$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , one has  $\lambda(\text{tr } A, \text{tr } B, \text{tr } AB) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  have a common eigenvector. This can be seen as a corollary of Fricke’s formula. One can also prove this assertion by observing that, in a suitable base,  $A$  and  $B$  assume the forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{tr } A & +1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr } B & \lambda \\ -\lambda^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, if  $A$  and  $B$  have no common eigenvector.

If  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(F, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$  is such that  $\lambda(T(\varphi)) = 0$ , then  $\varphi(a)$  and  $\varphi(b)$  have a common eigenvector, and so have  $\varphi \circ \sigma(a)$  and  $\varphi \circ \sigma(b)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda(T(\varphi \circ \sigma)) = 0$ . In other terms,  $\lambda(T(\varphi)) = 0$  implies  $\lambda(\Phi_\sigma(T(\varphi))) = 0$ . But, as we observed it previously,  $T\varphi$  can be an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Therefore  $\lambda \circ \Phi_\sigma$  is divisible by  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ .

**Proposition 1.** For  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  in  $\text{Hom}(F, F)$ , we have  $Q_{\sigma\tau} = Q_\sigma \circ \Phi_\tau \cdot Q_\tau$ .

*Proof.*

$$\lambda \circ \Phi_{\sigma\tau} = (\lambda \circ \Phi_\sigma) \circ \Phi_\tau = (\lambda \cdot Q_\sigma) \circ \Phi_\tau = \lambda \cdot Q_\tau \cdot Q_\sigma \circ \Phi_\tau$$

Corollary 1 and Proposition 1 extend Theorems 2 and 3 of ref. 5.

**Proposition 2.** For  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(F, F)$ , we have  $Q_\sigma(0, 0, 0) = 0$  or 1.

*Proof.* Take

$$\varphi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(b) = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Corollary 3.** If  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $F$ , then  $Q_\sigma = 1$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$1 = Q_{\sigma^{-1}\sigma} = Q_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \Phi_\sigma \cdot Q_\sigma$$

So  $Q_\sigma$  and  $Q_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \Phi_\sigma$  are nonzero constants, and therefore are identically 1.

This accounts for all examples (14) in ref. 5.

**Example.** If  $\sigma$  is the Fibonacci substitution  $[\sigma(a) = ab, \sigma(b) = a]$ , then  $\sigma$  is invertible  $[\sigma^{-1}(a) = b, \sigma^{-1}(b) = b^{-1}a]$ . Therefore,  $Q_\sigma = 1$ , which

is exactly the relation discovered by Kohmoto *et al.*<sup>(6)</sup> and by Ostlund *et al.*<sup>(7)</sup>

*Remark.* This raises several questions:

1. Does  $Q_\sigma = 1$  imply that  $\sigma$  is invertible?
2. How to describe the equivalence relation  $Q_\sigma = Q_\tau$ ?
3. How to describe the set of polynomials  $Q_\sigma$ 's?

Concerning question 2, if  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are automorphisms of  $F$  and if  $\Phi_{\sigma_2}$  is the identity, then  $Q_{\sigma_1\tau\sigma_2} = Q_\tau$  for any  $\tau$ .

Concerning question 3, the set of polynomials  $Q_\sigma$  is invariant under permutations of variables: if  $\sigma(a) = b$  and  $\sigma(b) = a$ , then  $\Phi_\sigma(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$ , and, if  $\sigma(a) = a^{-1}$  and  $\sigma(b) = ab$ , then  $\Phi_\sigma(x, y, z) = (x, z, y)$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Partial support of this work under grant N00014-88-K-0217 during the author's visit to the Mathematics Department, Yale University, is gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Schechtman, I. Blech, D. Gratias, and J. W. Cahn, Metallic phase with long-range orientational order and no translational symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**:1951 (1984).
2. D. Gratias and L. Michel, eds., International Workshop on Aperiodic Crystals, March 11–20, 1986, Les Houches (France), *J. Phys. (Paris) Coll. C3* (Suppl. 7) (1986).
3. M. J. Yacaman, D. Romeu, V. Castano, and A. Gomez, eds., *Quasicrystals and Incommensurate Structures in Condensed Matter* (World Scientific, 1990).
4. J.-P. Allouche and J. Peyrière, Sur une formule de récurrence sur les traces de produits de matrices associés à certaines substitutions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **302**(II):1135 (1986).
5. M. Kolář and M. K. Ali, Preprint, University of Lethbridge, January 22, 1990; Trace maps associated with general two-letter substitution rules, *Phys. Rev. A*, submitted.
6. M. Kohmoto, L. P. Kadanoff, and C. Tang, Localization problem in one dimension: Mapping and escape, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**:1870 (1983).
7. S. Ostlund, R. Pandit, D. Rand, H. J. Schellnhuber, and E. D. Siggia, One dimensional Schrödinger equation with an almost periodic potential, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**:1873 (1983).